



1 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-2, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the  
2 parties hereto through their respective attorneys of record that, if the Court approves, the current  
3 discovery deadline in the above-captioned case be extended as set forth below. The stipulation is  
4 based on the following:

5 The current discovery schedule has been set in a series of agreements and court orders. On  
6 November 18, 2008, the parties in the above-captioned action filed a Discovery Plan pursuant to  
7 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f) setting forth, among other things, discovery deadlines. On  
8 February 9, 2009, the parties appeared for a Status Conference and the Court issued Amended  
9 Civil Minutes setting discovery deadlines and a trial date. On June 12, 2009, the Court entered an  
10 Order granting the stipulated request of the parties to reset the trial deadlines and trial date in the  
11 above-captioned action. On October 5, 2009, the Court entered an Order granting the stipulated  
12 request of the parties to reset the discovery deadlines and trial date in the above-captioned action.  
13 On January 4, 2010, the Court entered an Order granting the stipulated request of the parties to  
14 reset the discovery deadlines and trial date in the above-captioned action. On January 13, 2010,  
15 the Court entered an Order granting the stipulated request of the parties to reset the deadline for  
16 depositions in the above-captioned action.

17 The parties have agreed to extend the discovery schedule for the limited purpose of  
18 completing the deposition of Thomas W. Weisel. The extension is necessary because the witness  
19 is not available at a time convenient for all parties prior to the current deadline for completing  
20 depositions.

21 The parties seek Court approval to further extend the discovery deadline for depositions  
22 from February 4, 2010 to March 24, 2010 for the purpose of completing the deposition of Mr.  
23 Weisel.

24 In all other respects, the Court's prior scheduling orders shall remain unchanged.

25 The parties respectfully request that the Court grant their request.

26 In accordance with General Order No. 45, Section X regarding signatures, the filer of this  
27 document hereby attests that concurrence in the filing of this document was obtained from each of  
28 the signatories listed below.

1 DATED: January 26, 2010

Respectfully,

2 STEVEN T. COTTREAU  
3 CLIFFORD CHANCE US LLP

4 SO STIPULATED

5 By: /s/ STEVEN T. COTTREAU  
STEVEN T. COTTREAU

6  
7 Attorneys for Defendants BNP PARIBAS and BNP  
8 PARIBAS SECURITIES (ASIA) LIMITED

9 RAGESH K. TANGRI  
10 JOHANNA CALABRIA  
DURIE TANGRI LLP

11 SO STIPULATED

12 By: /s/ JOHANNA CALABRIA  
JOHANNA CALABRIA

13  
14 Attorneys for Defendant PRAVEEN CHAKRAVARTY

15 GILBERT R. SEROTA  
16 CLARA J. SHIN  
17 MICHAEL L. GALLO  
HOWARD RICE NEMEROVSKI CANADY FALK &  
18 RABKIN  
A Professional Corporation

19 SO STIPULATED

20 By: /s/ GILBERT R. SEROTA  
GILBERT R. SEROTA

21  
22 Attorneys for Plaintiffs THOMAS WEISEL  
23 PARTNERS LLC and THOMAS WEISEL  
INTERNATIONAL PRIVATE LIMITED

**ORDER**

Pursuant to stipulation, the foregoing is approved and IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: January 27, 2010

